HOMES & COMMUNITIES COMMITTEE 15 MARCH 2021

GAS SERVICING ACCESS ARRANGEMENTS

1.0 <u>Purpose of Report</u>

1.1 To provide Committee with details of our current access procedures to carry out gas servicing and an opportunity to consider alternatives designed to improve access and ensure the Council continues to comply with its statutory duty under the Gas Safety (Installation and Use) Regulations 1998.

2.0 Background Information

- 2.1 Under the Gas Safety (Installation and Use) Regulations 1998 landlords are required to ensure that each and every fitting, appliance and flue, to which that duty extends, is checked for safety within 12 months of being installed and at intervals of not more than 12 months since it was last checked for safety.
- 2.2 As a landlord, the Council must comply with its duties under Regulation 36 of the Gas Safety Regulations to safety check/service any domestic gas fittings (not including residents own cookers) and any flues that serve them, within 12 months of the previous service anniversary date. Our objective is to ensure all our gas fittings have a valid Landlord Gas Safety Record (LGSR) in place ensuring maximum safety for all our residents, their families and neighbouring properties.
- 2.3 Gaining access for Gas Servicing is an issue for all Registered Social Landlords (RSL's) and the former Homes & Communities Agency (HCA) made risk and compliance a governance priority, with some RSL's being downgraded for non-access and non- compliance in this specific area. It has been widely reported in the housing press that gas safety non-compliance puts any organisation and its customers at significant risk. Table 1 indicates the number of properties requiring access by Newark & Sherwood District Council over the annual programme, a total of 5137 every year. The budget for the work is c.£250,000/annum.




Table 1

2.4 The Current Process

- 2.5 NSDC follow an 11-month gas servicing cycle. This means we commence access procedures for servicing gas appliances one month in advance of the service anniversary date, with a number of appropriate letters, communications and telephone calls to the resident taking place. This will shortly be reduced to a 10-month cycle in an attempt to improve access rates before properties reach their service anniversary date.
- 2.6 Where means of contacting the tenant for access described above has failed, the current escalation process utilises Injunction Orders issued by the courts to gain access. This can be a lengthy process which has left properties without a valid Gas Safety Record for up to 6 months in extreme cases.
- 2.7 The escalation commences following 3 failed attempts by our contractor to gain access to carry out the work, supported by written letters of appointment requesting access each time, the matter is then passed to our Tenancy & Estates team to commence the legal process.
- 2.8 The tenant receives a legal "Letter Before Action" making a final request for access before the matter proceeds to court. If this fails a court hearing is requested to obtain an injunction to allow access under the terms of the Tenancy Agreement, namely clause 3g which states:

"We have a right, upon giving you 24 hours' written notice, to come into your home at all reasonable times to inspect it or to carry out work in your property or an attached property. The Council, our employees, contractors, subcontractors and agents also have this right. (We do not have to give you 24 hours' notice in an emergency)."

- 2.9 An injunction is then usually provided by the Court authorising the Council to gain entry to the property to carry out the work. The cost of this process, including the forced access if still required, is recharged to the tenant. The costs are currently in the region of £500.
- 2.10 Historically, failure to allow access would require a court application every time that a tenant would not allow access. More recently, we obtain "in perpetuity" injunctions so access can be gained in future years without a further injunction application and therefore costs to the Council and the tenant are reduced.
- 2.11 Current non –access performance for 20/21 is as follows:

Cases sent to legal for final drafting but cancelled before sending	1
to court:	
Cases that were sent to court and issued but then withdrawn (due	21
to tenant providing access prior to being served with application)	
Cases we have obtained an order to adjourn generally with liberty	7
to restore (as tenant had provided access after being served but	
before court hearing)	
Cases we have obtained an injunction:	3
Current cases we have sent to court and waiting hearing date/have	9
hearing in the future	

3.0 Proposals

- 3.1 The current arrangements and ultimate sanction of an injunction have proven highly successful over the years with the pre- pandemic numbers of properties without a valid gas service record seldom reaching double figures.
- 3.2 Despite this success we should not be complacent as we rarely achieve 100% compliance, currently 99.7% and alternative means of gaining access, including incentives, are always worth considering. This is especially important following the COVID-19 pandemic which has resulted in even greater difficulty in accessing properties due to tenant's concerns over admitting gas engineers into their home and the heightened risk of contracting the virus. The remainder of this section of the report considers these alternatives.

3.3 Forced Entry

3.4 Some social landlords force entry to properties in order to undertake the gas safety inspection. Whilst this may be quicker and cheaper than pursuing court action for an injunction this is certainly not a recommended course of action. Whilst such a landlord may be seeking to comply with their obligation to service the gas appliances it is a potential breach of a tenants rights as there is no legal right to force access to a property, even if all other routes have been exhausted. Landlords who undertake such action are at risk of claims being brought against them by their tenants.

3.5 **Possession Orders**

3.6 Alternatives to the injunction process include Possession Orders which are considered to take longer and are more costly than to obtain Injunctions and could potentially result in a resident losing their home. For those reasons, as a responsible landlord we would not propose this action as proportionate or good practice.

3.7 Use of the Environmental Protection Act


- 3.8 The use of the Environmental Protection Act (EPA) is a legal way to ensure access into tenant's property more quickly than through an injunction. It is an accepted method, used in the correct circumstances and is already used by other local authorities to gain access for gas safety checks. Utilising the EPA is generally cheaper than the injunction process and takes less time and does not pose the risk of the tenant losing their home, unlike a Possession Order.
- 3.9 However, not all Local Authorities consider their use appropriate, as the EPA provisions only empower the Local Authority to access a property to investigate_whether there is a statutory nuisance or not. It does not necessarily provide a legal power to enter to do annual gas safety checks and servicing.
- 3.10 The advice of our Senior Legal Officer is that their use involves a high risk of challenge, judicial review and being sued for falsely entering the premises and preventing the tenants' quiet enjoyment of same. For these reasons this option is also not recommended.

3.11 Capping Off External Meters

- 3.12 From time to time we do encounter situations where we cannot arrange access with the tenant, perhaps where they have been taken into care or are otherwise away from the property for a protracted period. In situations such as this, where an external meter is present, we can cap off the gas supply at the meter current costs around £100.
- 3.13 This process would be subject to a pre- capping protocol, requiring a risk assessment of the individual's circumstances, with reference to the tenancy team and authorisation to cap from the BM for Tenancy and Estates Management. Once the tenant returns, the supply would be reinstated and the gas safety checks carried within 24 hours, or sooner if required. This safeguards the property and surrounding area from the risk of gas explosion, where the property is left unoccupied.

3.14 Service Interval Timers

- 3.15 Where tenants *persistently* fail to provide access for the annual gas service and safety check, the use of Service Interval Timers could assist with gaining access.
- 3.16 These devices: -
 - start a countdown to let the tenant know the service is becoming due
 - display a message on the screen to remind the tenant that a boiler service is due.
 - When the service is overdue, the programmer can be set by the Council to switch off the heating system for a proportion of each hour, to ensure the tenant's safety and provide a telephone number to contact us to arrange the service or
 - Provide an override and alarm to allow the boiler to continue running intermittently to create a nuisance situation rather than to shut the system down completely.
- 3.17 These devices are relatively inexpensive and easy to install and we would recommend considering their use only where tenants *persistently* deny access.
- 3.18 This device is normally incorporated into a programmable room thermostat and as such is inconspicuous. It also can be turned on or off by the service engineer at any time and the date can be reset any time the boiler is serviced (such as when a new tenant moves in).
- 3.19 If supported by the Committee, this would be best rolled out on all new boiler insulations where the Service Interval Timer could either be set as a fail-safe on all new boilers or could be set to operate on the persistent hard to access properties. It would also be possible to retrofit a new Programmer/Thermostat (which includes the Service Interval Timer function) on any existing system where we had difficulty gaining access.
- 3.20 Where it would be unsuitable for tenants with known needs to have heating/hot water interrupted we could turn off the Service Interval Timer and relay on the more traditional means to gain access.
- 3.21 The following process shows how the Service Interval Timer would work alongside the current process and on a servicing cycle of 10 months.



3.22 Incentives

- 3.23 Some landlords incentive their tenants to encourage access through monthly prize draws for *honouring their first appointment for the gas service*. The winner is selected at random each month and awarded the advertised prize. The cost of the incentive would be shared with the gas servicing contractor, as they benefit considerably from improved first-time access.
- 3.24 This report recommends monitoring of costs implications for non access for 12 months to enable members to revisit this option in the future as part of our toolkit to increase access.

4.0 Equalities Implications

4.1 Activities to restrict service may affect tenants with additional needs and protected characteristics to a greater extent although the arrangements in place are there to protect the health and safety of all by achieving timely access to servicing. Significant preparation work using risk assessment of individual circumstances is in place to understand and mitigate any impact.

5.0 Digital Implications

5.1 There are no digital implications arising from this report.

6.0 Financial Implications FIN20-21/2287

- 6.1 There are no material financial implications arising from this report. However, it should contribute to Members' understanding of the way in which resources are allocated to meet our statutory and regulatory responsibilities to ensure we keep our tenants and residents safe in their homes.
- 6.2 The cost of supplying service interval timers is approximately £150 per unit. Based on nonaccess cases that have reached court over the last year, the financial implication would be minimal and contained within the existing budget of £258,690.
- 6.3 The costs of running an incentive scheme would be £1200 per annum which again would be contained within existing budgets and offset against resources that are involved in the preparation of legal papers, attendance at Court, home visits and chase letters.

7.0 <u>Community Plan – Alignment to Objectives</u>

7.1 The performance of the gas servicing contract contributes to the delivery of the objective "To create more and better homes through our roles as landlord, developer and planning authority".

8.0 <u>Comments of Director(s) and/or Housing Advisory Group</u>

8.1 Our landlord responsibilities relating to gas safety are of the highest priority. This report explores additional tools available that will enhance an already high performing service.

Representatives at Housing Advisory Group held on 29/10/20 supported the use of capping and interval timers only in exceptional circumstances. There were mixed views around the use of incentives.

8.2 The HAG wanted to ensure that any move to an MOT style takes account of the effect this will have on anniversary dates i.e. bringing the service forward will effectively mean certification goes over twelve months.

9.0 <u>RECOMMENDATION(S)</u>

- 9.1 Committee is asked to note the content of the report and to approve the recommendations to:
 - Cap off external gas meters in the circumstances described at 3.11
 - Install Service Interval Timers in the circumstances described at 3.14
 - Monitor costs of failed access to the Council before revisiting incentives
 - Revisit the impact of the above recommendations in 12 months time.

9.2 <u>Reason for Recommendation(s)</u>

To improve the performance of the gas servicing programme to 100% compliance and to further enhance tenant safety. Particularly given the current challenges around access whilst we live with Covid-19.

Background Papers

Nil

For further information please contact Mark Plant, Compliance & Safety Manager on ext 5603

Suzanne Shead Director – Housing, Health & Wellbeing